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1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [1] and related molecules such as partially (de)hydrogenated [2–4] and ionized PAHs [5,6] are ubiquitous in terrestrial and celestial environments. On Earth, they are generated in combustion processes of fossil fuel as toxic byproducts. Considering the emission rate of 1.6 million tons per year, PAHs and soot are severe air and marine pollutants [7–9], contribute to the global warming, and are considered as airborne toxic chemicals due to their mutagenic [10] and carcinogenic [11–13] character. On the other hand, in the interstellar medium, it is estimated that PAH-like species account for up to 20% of the total cosmic carbon budget [14,15]. They are linked to the unidentified infrared emission bands (UIBs) [16,17] and to the diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) [18]. Therefore, in interstellar space, PAH-like species are of crucial importance to understand the evolution of carbon-rich environments such as circumstellar envelopes of carbon stars like IRC +10 216 and planetary nebulae.

Unfortunately, despite the importance of PAHs in combustion processes and in interstellar space, the underlying formation routes are still a subject of an ongoing discussion. In high temperature environments, the phenyl radical (C6H5) in its 2A1 electronic ground state is believed to present one of the most critical transient species to trigger PAH formation [19,20] via reaction with unsaturated hydrocarbons through an addition of the radical center of the phenyl radical to the π electronic system of the unsaturated co-reactants [21]. The intermediates either decompose back to the reactants, fragment to the products, isomerize prior to their decomposition, and/or are stabilized at higher pressures via a third-body collision. Recently, we have conducted a systematic study of the reactions of phenyl radicals with unsaturated hydrocarbons classified as olefines (ethylene [22], propylene [23], 1,3-butadiene [24]), cumulenes (allene [25]), alkynes (acetylene [26], methylacetylene [25]), and aromatic (benzene [27]) utilizing the crossed molecular beams approach. Here we will introduce the results for the reaction of phenyl radicals with unsubstituted cumulene (1,2-butadiene) to access the important C6H10 potential energy surface (PES) – among them dihydronaphthalene. As an isomer of 1,3-butadiene, extensive theoretical and experimental investigations on the thermal isomerization and (unimolecular) dissociation of 1,2-butadiene have been reported. These involved photolysis [28–30] and pyrolysis experiments [31,32]. But unlike 1,3-butadiene, only a few reactions of 1,2-butadiene have been reported. These are reactions with atoms of hydrogen, carbon, oxygen, and sulfur and with hydroxyl (OH) and methyl (CH3) radicals. The only system studied under single collision conditions is the reaction of atomic carbon with 1,2-butadiene [33]. Most papers suggest that 1,2-butadiene acts as a precursor of small hydrocarbon radicals in pyrolysis processes like propargyl and methyl [32,34–36], which initiate subsequent radical chain reactions to form single or multiple aromatic ring structures. In addition, the mutual isomerization of 1,2-butadiene, 1,3-butadiene, and 2-butyne was shown to be much faster than its decomposition at high temperature [35,36]. Therefore, it is justified to study not only the unimolecular decomposition of these isomers, but also the underlying reaction dynamics as presented here.

2. Experimental

A detailed description of the crossed molecular beams machine and the phenyl radical source have been reported previously [37]. Briefly, a supersonic beam of helium-seeded phenyl radicals (C6H5X2A1) at fractions of about 0.1% was generated in the primary...
source chamber via flash pyrolysis of nitrosobenzene (C₆H₅NO, Flu-ka) [38] employing a modified Chen source [39]. The mixture of the helium carrier gas and the nitrosobenzene vapor was released by a piezoelectric pulsed valve operated at a rate of 200 Hz and a back- ing pressure 920 Torr and passes a heated silicon carbide tube (1200–1500 K). At these experimental conditions, the decom- position of nitrosobenzene to form nitrogen monoxide (NO) and the phenyl radical (C₆H₅) was quantitative [38]. After passing a skimmer, a part of the phenyl radical beam was selected by a four-slot chopper wheel. This section of the beam crossed perpendicularly a pulsed supersonic beam of 1,2-butadiene (H₂CCC(CH₃)H; X'A') (Fluka, 550 Torr) generated in the secondary source chamber. The peak velocities and speed ratios of the crossing segments of the beams are listed in Table 1. The reactivity scattering products were detected in the time-of-flight (TOF) mode by a rotatable quadrupole mass spectrometric detector after electron impact ionization of the neutral reaction products. The detector could be rotated inside the main chamber and within the plane defined by both supersonic beams. After recording TOF spectra at several angles and integrating them, a laboratory angular distribution (LAB) of the reactivity scattered species at a defined mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio was derived. By fitting the TOF spectra and LAB angular distribution of products, the center-of-mass (CM) angular distribution f(θ) and product translational energy distribution P(E_T) was obtained utilizing a forward-convolution routine [40–42]. Best fits were derived with an entrance barrier E_j to the reaction of about 10–20 kJ mol⁻¹ incorporating an energy dependent cross section, σ(E_j) of the form σ(E_j) ~ [1 − E_j/E_c], via the line-of-center model with the collision energy E_c for E_j > E_c [43].

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Laboratory data

In our experiments, TOF spectra were recorded at mass-to-charge ratios, m/z, of 130 (C₁₀H₉⁺), 129 (C₁₀H₈⁺), 128 (C₁₀H₇⁺), 117 (C₉H₈⁺), 116 (C₉H₇⁺), and 115 (C₈H₆⁺). It is important to emphasize that at both collision energies, the TOF spectra at different m/z values showed identical pattern and could be fitted with same center-of-mass functions. Therefore, signal at lower m/z ions originates solely from dissociation ionization of the parent molecule (C₁₀H₁₀⁻) in the electron impact ionizer of the detector. Further, we can conclude that the phenyl radical versus hydrogen atom pathway is open; upper limits to the methyl loss channel to form C₆H₅CH₂ isomer(s) were derived to be up to 8%. Due to the best signal-to-noise ratio, time-of-flight data were recorded at a mass-to-charge ratio of m/z = 129, which is a fragment of the C₁₀H₁₀ parent molecule generated in the reaction of phenyl radicals with 1,2-butadiene (Figs. 1 and 2). We would like to stress that due to the high background noise level at m/z = 78 (¹³C₆H₅⁺) from the inelastically scattered phenyl radical reactant, the bimolecular hydrogen

![Fig. 1. Selected time-of-flight data recorded at mass-to-charge ratio (m/z = 129), which is a fragment of the C₁₀H₁₀ parent molecules generated in the reaction of phenyl radicals with 1,2-butadiene, at two collision energies of 109 kJ mol⁻¹ (upper row) and 150 kJ mol⁻¹ (lower row). The open circles are the experimental data, and the solid lines are the fits by forward-convolution routine.](image-url)
would account for a reaction energy of $-33 \pm 25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. We also observe that the center of mass translational energy distributions depict pronounced maxima at about 30–50 kJ mol$^{-1}$. This finding likely indicates the involvement of a tight exit transition state upon the formation of the C$_{10}$H$_{12}$ isomer(s). Considering the principle of microscopic reversibility of a chemical reaction, the reverse reaction of hydrogen atom addition to the C$_{10}$H$_{12}$ isomer is therefore expected to have an entrance barrier.

Secondly, it is important to analyze the center-of-mass angular distributions. It is evident that at both collision energies, the angular flux distributions show intensity over the complete angular range from 0° to 180°; further, both distributions are asymmetric around 90° and depict enhanced fluxes in the forward hemispheres with respect to the phenyl radical beam. Best fits were derived with ratios at the poles, $I(180°)/I(0°)$, of 0.4–0.7. What conclusions can be drawn from these findings? First, the flux present over the complete angular range strongly indicates that the reaction follows indirect scattering dynamics via the formation of C$_{10}$H$_{12}$ reaction intermediate(s). Further, the asymmetry of the center-of-mass angular distributions let us conclude that the lifetime(s) of the C$_{10}$H$_{12}$ intermediate(s) is shorter than (or comparable with) its rotational period (oscillating complex).

4. Discussion

We now combine our experimental data with new electronic structure calculations on the reactions of phenyl radicals with 1,2-butadiene performed at the G3(MP2,CC)/B3LYP/6-311G* level of theory [44] using the Gaussian 98 [45] and Molepro 2002 [46] program packages. First, we compare the experimentally derived energetics to form the C$_{10}$H$_{12}$ isomer ($-46 \pm 20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $-19 \pm 20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) at collision energies of 109 ± 3 kJ mol$^{-1}$ a 156 ± 5 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively; average reaction energy of $-33 \pm 25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ with the computed ones (Fig. 4). It is important to stress that the formation of both methylindene isomers (p3 and p4) can be ruled out since the computed reaction energies of 166 and 153 kJ mol$^{-1}$ do not correlate with our experimental data. However, within the error limits, both the synthesis of 1-phenyl-3-methylallene (p1) ($-33 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and 1-phenyl-butene-2 (p2) ($-24 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) can account for the experimentally derived reaction energy of $-33 \pm 25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Which is the dominating reaction product? Or are both isomers likely to be formed? To answer this question, we have to shed light on the underlying dynamics of the reaction. First, the indirect nature of the reaction mechanism to form p1 and/or p2 is evident from the shapes of the center-of-mass angular distributions (Fig. 3). If we also correlate the structure of the reactants with those of the final product isomers p1 and/or p2, we can suggest that the phenyl radical adds with its radical center to the sterically less hindered C1 atom of the 1,2-butadiene molecule forming a doublet radical intermediate. In 1,2-butadiene, the carbon atoms involved in the double bonds have charges about $-0.26, 0.00$, and $-0.20$. Therefore, the attack is preferentially directed to the carbon atoms with the highest electron density, the terminal carbon atom C1. The larger cone of acceptance of the sterically less hindered, non-substituted carbon atom was found to be the more accessible pathway for the initial addition step of the phenyl radical in related reactions with methyl-substituted unsaturated hydrocarbons methylacetylene (CH$_3$C=CH) [25] and propylene (CH$_3$CH=CH$_2$) [23]. Our electronic structure calculations support the proposed reaction mechanism (Fig. 4) and suggest that the phenyl radical adds via a barrier of about 8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ to the C1 carbon atom of 1,2-butadiene forming intermediate II; this structure is bound by 154 kJ mol$^{-1}$ with respect to the separated reactants. The intermediate can decompose via atomic hydrogen loss from the C1 and C3 carbon atoms to yield p1 and p2 via tight exit transitions states
located 22 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 18 kJ mol$^{-1}$ above the final reaction products. The presence of tight exit transitions states, which are connected with a significant change in electron density from the intermediate to the final products, is also evident from the off-zero
peaking of the center-of-mass translational energy distributions (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the experimental data verify that under the present experimental conditions, intermediate i1 does not rearrange via i2 to i3 yielding indene plus methyl or methyldiene isomers plus atomic hydrogen. This leads us to the conclusion that the life time of i1 is too low to allow an isomerization via hydrogen migration to i2 followed by ring closure to i3. Quantitatively spoken, we can also estimate the life time of intermediate i1 [47] taking into account of the moments of inertia of $I_a = 0.269 \times 10^{-44}$ kg m², $I_b = 1.344 \times 10^{-44}$ kg m², and $I_c = 1.402 \times 10^{-44}$ kg m² and the intensity ratios of the poles of the center-of-mass angular distributions, $I(180)/I(0^\circ)$, of 0.4–0.7. This suggests life times of the intermediate i1 are about 0.21–0.54 ps, 0.87–2.68 ps, or 0.91–2.80 ps if rotating around its A, B, or C axis. This finding correlates nicely with the related reaction of phenyl radicals with 1,3-butadiene – an isomer of 1,2-butadiene – studied in our laboratory at collision energies of 117 and 149 kJ mol⁻¹ [24]. Here, the phenyl radical was also found to add to the C1 atom of 1,3-butadiene yielding a doublet reaction intermediate. The latter decomposed via hydrogen atom emission to the 1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene product rather than undergoing hydrogen shift and ring closure processes.

Having established an indirect reaction mechanism via a phenyl radical addition to the sterically less hindered, terminal carbon atom (C1) of the 1,2-butadiene reactant to form a doublet reaction intermediate i1, we still have to elucidate if isomer p1 and/or p2 are the final reaction products. Recall that the experimentally derived reaction energies and the proposed reaction mechanism can account for the formation of p1 and/or p2. Let us compare the derived dynamics of the present reaction with those of related systems studied at similar collision energies in our laboratory. The reaction of phenyl radicals with methyldiacetylene led – via addition to the C1 carbon atom – to a C₆H₅CCHCH₃ intermediate which decomposed to phenylmethylacetylene (C₆H₅CCH₂H) plus atomic hydrogen. At collision energies between 91 and 161 kJ mol⁻¹, the lifetime of the reaction intermediate was found to be too low to allow an ‘energy flow’ from the initially activated bond to the acetylenic carbon–hydrogen bond forming the phenylalkyldiene molecule via hydrogen loss from the acetylenic group to occur. On the other hand, the enhanced life time of the C₆H₅HCCCH₃ intermediate formed in the reaction of phenyl radicals with propylene allowed an energy randomization and ‘flow’ from the activated carbon–carbon bond to the carbon–hydrogen bonds in the methyl group. This resulted in the formation of two structural isomers: cis/trans-1-phenylpropene (CH₃C₆H₅CH₂CH₃) (80–90%) and 3-phenylpropene (H₃CCH₅C₆H₃). The enhanced life time of the C₆H₅HCCCH₃ intermediate (phenyl = propylene reaction) compared to the C₆H₅HCCCH₃ intermediate (phenyl = methyldiacetylene reaction) was attributed to the increased numbers of vibration modes of the reaction intermediates. This in turn allowed an energy ‘flow’ from the initially activated bond to the carbon–hydrogen bond rupture of in the methyl group of the propylene reactant. This qualitative concept leads us to predict that in the initial reaction intermediate i1 (C₆H₅HCCCH₃), which contains an even increased number of oscillators compared to the C₆H₅HCCCH₃ intermediate (phenyl = propylene reaction), two reaction pathways are open: the ejection of the hydrogen atom from the C1 carbon atom (energy flow via only one bond) yielding p1 and also an emission of the hydrogen atom from C3 (energy flow via three chemical bonds).

5. Conclusions

The reaction of the phenyl radical with 1,2-butadiene was studied under single collision conditions in a crossed molecular beams machine. Combining the experimental data with electronic structure calculations, the reaction follows indirect scattering dynamics via an addition of the phenyl radical with its radical center to the sterically more favorable C1 atom of the 1,2-butadiene reactant. This intermediate decomposed via atomic hydrogen loss to form most likely two C₁₀H₁₀ isomers: the 1-phenyl-3-methylenepentene (p1) and 1-phenyl-butynyl-2 (p2) isomers via tight exit transition states in overall exoergic reactions. Compared to the reaction of phenyl radicals with 1,3-butadiene, which resulted in the formation of 1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene, two structurally very distinct isomers were formed. Since both 1,3-butadiene and 1,2-butadiene isomers and the phenyl radical reactant have been monitored in combustion flames as well. It remains to be established in future experiments to what extent these molecules can isomerize via hydrogen atom catalyzed reactions to the thermodynamically more stable methyldiene isomers.
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